

# Research on Power Planning Based on Multi-Objective Linear Programming

Juanjuan Song

Shandong Huayu University of Technology, Jinan, Shandong, 253500, China

**Keywords:** Linear Programming; Lingo. The Enumeration Method; Statistical

**Abstract:** First of all, give full consideration to various uncertain factors, reasonably determine the peak load value in 2030, by judging near the critical value of models and number of samples, minimizing the probability of wrongful convictions, to get the best solution: add outfit type one unit 3, type 2 units 3, type 3 unit 2 sets, type four units 1. Secondly, using linear programming, this paper puts forward according to various possible situations in practice. The improved inspection method makes the benefit higher. According to the subsection method and weight comparison method, 3 sets of unit type 1, 0 sets of unit type 2, 3 sets of unit type 3 and 1 set of unit type 4 are obtained. Finally, according to enumeration methods and statistical concepts, when the average value is taken, LOLP is 0.000384 and EENS is. When the median value is taken, LOLP is 0.001249 and EENS is. The second question USES the linear programming, obtains adds the type 3 sets, other types 0 sets.

## 1. Introduction

Reasonable planning is the premise and foundation of safe, reliable and economical operation of electric power [1][2]. Power system planning is used to determine the time, place and type of power equipment to be installed to meet the power demand within the life span, and to minimize the total cost of the planned power system on the premise of meeting the technical indicators of the power system. On this basis, the pursuit of cost minimization

The power supply planning model usually aims to minimize the total cost of unit investment, system operation cost and power failure loss during the planning period, and optimizes the additional installation plan of the unit under the conditions of meeting the constraints of power plant construction, system safety, unit operation and system reliability.

Therefore, how to set up a power generation system, reasonably plan the power supply, minimize the total cost and reduce the cost is a practical problem.

## 2. The Establishment and Solution of the Model

### 2.1. The establishment and solution of model 1

Take 2019 as the base year, and plan to determine the increased type and number of units in 2030. Establish a multi-objective linear programming model[3][4][5][6]

$$MinG = 220x_1' + 90x_2' + 60x_3' + 50x_4' \quad (1)$$

$$s.t. \begin{cases} x_1' \leq 3 \\ x_2' \leq 3 \\ x_3' \leq 10 \\ x_4' \leq 10 \\ 250 \geq p_1' \geq 100 \\ 100 \geq p_2' \geq 40 \\ 65 \geq p_3' \geq 25 \\ 50 \geq p_4' \geq 15 \\ \sum_{i=1}^4 x_i' p_i' \geq 700 \\ \sum_{i=1}^4 x_i' p_i' + 3405 \geq 4631.25 \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

Lingo program is used to calculate the number of newly installed units and their actual loads. The minimum cost of newly installed units can be calculated from the number of newly installed units of each type and their respective actual loads, and the cost is  $1100 \times 10^6 \$$ . Specific data are shown in table 1.

Table 1 Actual load value number of units

$p_1'$	$p_2'$	$p_3'$	$p_4'$
250	100	65	50
$x_1'$	$x_2'$	$x_3'$	$x_4'$
3	3	2	1

## 2.2. The establishment and solution of model 2

Based on the given information, the optimal load distribution plan for each unit of the existing system on the 12th and 24th hours of a typical day is calculated, and the type and number of additional units are planned for the year 2030.

Set  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_9$  as the number of existing units,  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_9$  is the actual load of the existing unit. Build the following linear programming model

(1) The objective function by the twelfth hour

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Min } B = & (0.064p_1^2 + 48p_1 + 401)x_1 + (0.014p_2^2 + 43p_2 + 212)x_2 + \\ & (0.053p_3^2 + 42p_3 + 781)x_3 + (0.007p_4^2 + 40p_4 + 832)x_4 + \\ & (0.328p_5^2 + 37p_5 + 86)x_5 + (0.008p_6^2 + 44p_6 + 382)x_6 + \\ & (0.001p_7^2 + 35p_7 + 595)x_7 + (0.023p_8^2 + 41p_8 + 284)x_8 + \\ & (0.005p_9^2 + 36p_9 + 665)x_9 \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

(2) The objective function by the 24th hour

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Min } C = & (0.064p_1^2 + 48p_1 + 401)x_1 + (0.014p_2^2 + 43p_2 + 212)x_2 + \\ & (0.053p_3^2 + 42p_3 + 781)x_3 + (0.007p_4^2 + 40p_4 + 832)x_4 + \\ & (0.328p_5^2 + 37p_5 + 86)x_5 + (0.008p_6^2 + 44p_6 + 382)x_6 + \\ & (0.001p_7^2 + 35p_7 + 595)x_7 + (0.023p_8^2 + 41p_8 + 284)x_8 + \\ & (0.005p_9^2 + 36p_9 + 665)x_9 \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

(3) Electricity cost

$x_i' (i=1,2,3,4)$ ,  $p_i' (i=1,2,3,4)$  are the quantity and actual load of additional units respectively.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Min } E = & 220x_1' + 90x_2' + 60x_3' + 50x_4' + (0.008p_1^2 + 34p_1 + 600)x_1' + \\ & (0.042p_2^2 + 43p_2 + 350)x_2' + (0.054p_3^2 + 38p_3 + 250)x_3' + \\ & (0.217p_4^2 + 33p_4 + 200)x_4' \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

$$\text{s.t.} \begin{cases} x_1' \leq 3 \\ x_2' \leq 6 \\ x_3' \leq 10 \\ x_4' \leq 10 \\ 100 \leq p_1' \leq 250 \\ 40 \leq p_2' \leq 100 \\ 25 \leq p_3' \leq 65 \\ 15 \leq p_4' \leq 50 \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

(4) Solution of model 2

It can be seen from the above analysis that this problem is a multivariable linear programming

problem. According to the constraint conditions and objective functions given in the problem, the Lingo program is applied for programming calculation, and the number of existing units and their actual load in the 12th and 24th hours are obtained. The optimal operating cost of the power plant is calculated according to the obtained scheme.

The twelfth hour

$p_1$	$p_2$	$p_3$	$p_4$	$p_5$	$p_6$	$p_7$	$p_8$	$p_9$
40	227.0471	224.6204	591.0000	20.00000	334.8325	800.0000	120.0000	350.0000

The 24th hour

$p_1$	$p_2$	$p_3$	$p_4$	$p_5$	$p_6$	$p_7$	$p_8$	$p_9$
10	76	49.00840	197	12	155	400	50	350

$x_1$	$x_2$	$x_3$	$x_4$	$x_5$	$x_6$	$x_7$	$x_8$	$x_9$
0	4	0	3	0	1.286290	2	0	1

Through Lingo calculation results, the optimal load distribution of existing system units on the 12th and 24th hour of a typical day is solved.

The number of additional units when the load is low

$x_1$	$x_2$	$x_3$	$x_4$
0	0	0	0

The actual load value range of the additional unit

$p_1$	$p_2$	$p_3$	$p_4$
100	40	25	15

The number of additional units at peak load

$x_1$	$x_2$	$x_3$	$x_4$
3	0	3	1

The actual load value range of the additional unit

$p_1$	$p_2$	$p_3$	$p_4$
250	43	60	28

According to the weight analysis, under the constraint condition of this question, the loading and work should be increased according to the peak period to meet the minimum cost.

### 2.3. The establishment and solution of model 3

For typical daily loads, LOLP, EENS and power failure losses are considered.

$$\min D = \sum_{i=1}^T C_r(X_i) + CRF \cdots \sum_{i=1}^T [C_{oi}(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_i, Y)] + C_{Li}(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_i, Y) \quad (7)$$

Existing units are controlled by the total number of installed units and unit capacity

$$s.t. \begin{cases} 0 \leq x_1 \leq 4 \\ 0 \leq x_2 \leq 4 \\ 0 \leq x_3 \leq 3 \\ 0 \leq x_4 \leq 3 \\ 0 \leq x_5 \leq 5 \\ 0 \leq x_6 \leq 4 \\ 0 \leq x_7 \leq 2 \\ 0 \leq x_8 \leq 6 \\ 0 \leq x_9 \leq 1 \\ 10 \leq p_1 \leq 20 \\ 15.2 \leq p_2 \leq 76 \\ 40 \leq p_3 \leq 100 \\ 69 \leq p_4 \leq 197 \\ 4 \leq p_5 \leq 12 \\ 54.3 \leq p_6 \leq 155 \\ 150 \leq p_7 \leq 400 \\ 20 \leq p_8 \leq 50 \\ 140 \leq p_9 \leq 350 \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

$$LOLP = \sum_{s \in S} P_s \quad (9)$$

$$EENS = T \times \sum_{s \in S} (C_s \times P_s) \quad (10)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Min } J = & 220 \times x_1 + 90x_2 + 60x_3 + 50x_4 + (x_1 - y_1) \times (0.008 \times p_1^2 + 34 \times p_1 + 600) + (x_2 - y_2) \times \\ & (0.042 \times p_2^2 + 43 \times p_2 + 350) + (x_3 - y_3) \times (0.054 \times p_3^2 + 38 \times p_3 + 250) + (x_4 - y_4) \times (0.217 \times p_4^2 + 33 \times p_4 + 200) + \\ & [(0.91193004)^{x_1 - y_1} \times (0.08806996)^{y_1} \times (0.96688742)^{x_2 - y_2} \times (0.03311258)^{y_2} \times (0.97855228)^{x_3 - y_3} \times \\ & (0.0214477)^{y_3} \times (0.96774194)^{x_4 - y_4} \times (0.03225806)^{y_4}] \times \\ & \{[3405 + 250 \times (x_1 - y_1) + 100 \times (x_2 - y_2) + 65 \times (x_3 - y_3) + 50 \times (x_4 - y_4)] \times 0.8 - 3278.925\} \times 10 \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

The solution of model 3

$x_1'$	$x_2'$	$x_3'$	$x_4'$
3	0	0	0
New unit actual load			
$P_1'$	$P_2'$	$P_3'$	$P_4'$
250	100	25	15
The number of new unit failures			
$y_2$	$y_3$	$y_4$	
0	0	0	

## References

- [1] Chen weiwei. 2015 annual monitoring and evaluation report on national renewable energy power development, Xinhua news agency, 2016.
- [2] Li miao, Li jianjun, Su haifei. China new communications, 2016 (20).
- [3] He Wenzhang, Song Zuozhong. Mathematical modeling and experiment. Harbin Engineering University Press, 2002.
- [4] Jiang Qiyuan. Mathematical model, Beijing: higher education press, 1998.
- [5] Han Zhonggeng. Mathematical modeling method and its application. Beijing: higher education press, 2009.
- [6] Liu Baozhu. MATLAB 7.0 from introduction to mastery, people's post and telecommunications press, 2012.