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Abstract: Taxation is the basic factor of China's fiscal revenue, but also affects the development of China's economy. Economy is the source of taxation. Economy decides taxation, and taxation reacts on economy. This is the general principle of Taxation and economy. In recent years, the rapid growth of China's tax revenue, or even "super-rapid growth", has attracted wide attention. Taxation, as an important part of fiscal revenue, plays an indispensable role in the development of national economy. In order to study the main reasons affecting China's tax revenue growth, analyze the growth law of central and local tax revenue, and predict the future growth trend of China's tax revenue, this paper selects the factors of local gross domestic product, local fiscal expenditure and retail commodity price level for empirical analysis.

1. Model Setting
1.1. Variable Selection

In order to analyze the impact of various factors on tax revenue, we choose "various tax revenue" as the explanatory variable (expressed in Y), GDP (expressed in X1), local fiscal expenditure (expressed in X2) and commodity retail price index (expressed in X3) as the explanatory variables. Explain variables. Relevant data for 2013 from China Statistical Yearbook.

1.2. Statistical Description

To analyze the relationship between tax revenue (Y) and explanatory variables of GDP (X1), regional fiscal expenditure (X2) and commodity retail price index (X3), a graph is drawn.

Figure 1 Linear Map of Gross Domestic Product and Tax Revenue
From the figure, we can see that tax revenue (Y) and regional gross domestic product (X1), regional fiscal expenditure (X2) and commodity retail price index (X3) generally show a linear relationship. In order to analyze the regularity of the amount of tax revenue (Y) changing with gross domestic product (X1), regional fiscal expenditure (X2) and commodity retail price index (X3), the following three-dimensional logarithmic regression model can be preliminarily established:

\[ \ln Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \ln X_1 + \beta_2 \ln X_2 + \beta_3 X_3 + u_i \]

2. Parameter estimation

Regression of \( \ln Y \) to \( \ln X_1 \), \( \ln X_2 \) and \( X_3 \) by Eviews 7

2.1. Regression Results

Dependent Variable: LNY
Method: Least Squares
Sample: 1 26
Included observations: 26

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>Std. Error</th>
<th>t-Statistic</th>
<th>Prob.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>-0.334090</td>
<td>0.278144</td>
<td>-1.201142</td>
<td>0.2472</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LNX1</td>
<td>0.411202</td>
<td>0.061393</td>
<td>0.506888</td>
<td>0.6191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LNX2</td>
<td>0.610785</td>
<td>0.057331</td>
<td>17.63061</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X3</td>
<td>0.004174</td>
<td>0.001534</td>
<td>2.721708</td>
<td>0.0151</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

R-squared 0.998352    Mean dependent var 9.095684
Adjusted R-squared 0.998043   F=3231.72
S.E. of regression 0.040806    Akaike info criterion -3.383123
Sum squared resid 0.026642    Schwarz criterion -3.183977
Log likelihood 37.83123    F-statistic 3231.721
Durbin-Watson stat 0.735725    Prob(F-statistic) 0.000000

According to the data in the table, the results of the model design are as follows:

\[ \ln Y = -0.334090 + 0.411202 \ln X_1 + 0.610785 \ln X_2 + 0.004174 X_3 \]

\( (0.278144) \quad (0.061393) \quad (0.057331) \quad (0.001534) \)

\( n=26 \quad R^2=0.998352 \quad \overline{R}^2=0.998043 \quad F=3231.72 \)

3. Model Checking

3.1. Test of Economic Significance

According to the model, assuming that other variables remain unchanged, tax revenue will increase by 0.411202% on average for every 1% increase in GDP.

Assuming that other variables remain unchanged, tax revenue will increase by 0.610785% on average for every 1% increase in local fiscal expenditure.
Assuming that other variables remain unchanged, when the retail price index of commodities increases by 1%, tax revenue will increase by 0.004174% on average. This is in line with the expected economic significance.

3.2. Statistical Significance Test

3.2.1. Goodness of fit test (R² test)

\[ R^2 = 0.998352, \quad \overline{R}^2 = 0.998043, \] which shows that the model fits the sample data well on the whole, that is, the explanatory variables "gross domestic product (X1)"; "regional fiscal expenditure (X2)" and "commodity retail price index (X3)" explain the vast majority of the differences of the explanatory variables "tax revenue (Y)".

3.2.2. F test

For \[ H_0 : \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \beta_3 = 0 \], given the significant level \( \alpha = 0.05 \), the critical value \( F(3, 22) = 3.24 \) of degree of freedom \( k-1=3 \) and \( n-k=22 \) is found in the F distribution table. From table 2, \( F=3231.72>F(3,22)=3.24 \) is obtained. The original hypothesis \( H_0 : \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \beta_3 = 0 \) should be rejected, indicating that the regression equation is significant, that is, the explanatory variables included in the model are "regional gross domestic product (X1)" and "regional fiscal expenditure (X2)". Combined with the Retail Price Index of Commodities (X3), it does have a significant impact on the explanatory variable "tax revenue (Y)".

3.2.3. T test

For \[ H_0 : \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \beta_3 = 0 \] (j = 0, 1, 2, 3), given the saliency level \( \alpha = 0.05 \), and the critical value \( t_{\alpha/2} \) of the degree of freedom of the t distribution table \( n-k = 22 \). According to the data, the absolute values of T statistics corresponding to \( \hat{\beta}_1, \hat{\beta}_2, \hat{\beta}_3 \) and, respectively, -1.20114, 0.506888, 17.63061 and 2.721708 are not all greater than \( t_{\alpha/2} \) = 2.120. This shows that at a significant level of \( \alpha = 0.05 \), only \( H_0 : \beta_3 = 0 \) can be rejected by \( \hat{\beta}_2, \hat{\beta}_3 \). That is to say, when other explanatory variables remain unchanged, the explanatory variables of "regional gross product (X1)" and "regional gross domestic product (X1)" can be rejected. Regional fiscal expenditure (X2) and commodity retail price index (X3) have significant effects on the incomplete tax revenue (Y), Not all of them have significant effects, which may be due to the influence of multiple collinearity or autocorrelation.

3.3. Econometric Significance Test

3.3.1. Multiple Collinearity Test

Regression of lnY and lnX1,lnX2 3-1
Dependent Variable: LNY
Method: Least Squares
Sample: 1 26
Included observations: 26

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>Std. Error</th>
<th>t-Statistic</th>
<th>Prob.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.208507</td>
<td>0.227596</td>
<td>0.916126</td>
<td>0.3724</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LNX1</td>
<td>-0.002932</td>
<td>0.071008</td>
<td>-0.041295</td>
<td>0.9675</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LNX2</td>
<td>0.965745</td>
<td>0.064410</td>
<td>14.99361</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-squared</td>
<td>0.997590</td>
<td>Mean dependent var</td>
<td>9.095684</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted R-squared</td>
<td>0.997306</td>
<td>S.D. dependent var</td>
<td>0.922533</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.E. of regression</td>
<td>0.047883</td>
<td>Akaike info criterion</td>
<td>-3.102647</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum squared resid</td>
<td>0.038977</td>
<td>Schwarz criterion</td>
<td>-2.953287</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log likelihood</td>
<td>34.02647</td>
<td>F-statistic</td>
<td>3517.899</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Regression of lnY and lnX3

Dependent Variable: LNY
Method: Least Squares
Date: 06/15/19   Time: 21:14
Sample: 1 26
Included observations: 26

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>Std. Error</th>
<th>t-Statistic</th>
<th>Prob.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>16.286367</td>
<td>2.448852</td>
<td>6.650608</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X3</td>
<td>-0.068404</td>
<td>0.023237</td>
<td>-2.943795</td>
<td>0.0087</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

R-squared 0.324981     Mean dependent var 9.095684
Adjusted R-squared 0.287480     S.D. dependent var 0.922533
S.E. of regression 0.778718     Akaike info criterion  2.432304
Sum squared resid 10.91524     Schwarz criterion  2.531878
Log likelihood -22.32304     F- statistic 8.665931
Durbin-Watson stat 0.306442     Prob(F- statistic) 0.008683

According to the results, the combination of lnY and lnX1 and lnX2 is the optimal equation, but the fitting degree of lnY and X3 = 0.287480 is not very high, much less than that of lnY after regression with lnX1 and lnX2, respectively. However, the change from 2-1 to 0.998352 after introducing X3 shows that introducing X3 as an explanatory variable can improve the overall model. From the correlation coefficient matrix of Table 3-1, it can be seen that the correlation coefficient of explanatory variables lnX1, lnX2 and X3 is not high. It can be considered that there is no multiple collinearity in the model, so the original equation can be retained, that is

\[ \text{LnY} = -0.334090 + 0.411202 \text{lnX1} + 0.610785 \text{lnX2} + 0.004174 \text{X3} \]

This shows that, with other factors unchanged, the GDP of the region will increase by US$100 million, the fiscal expenditure of the region will increase by US$100 million, and the retail price index of commodities will increase by 1%. On average, tax revenue will increase by US$4112.21 billion, US$61078.5 billion and US$0041.74 billion, respectively.

3.3.2. Heteroscedasticity Test

Heteroskedasticity Test: White

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>F-statistic</th>
<th>Prob. F(9,16)</th>
<th>Obs*R-squared</th>
<th>Prob. Chi-Square(9)</th>
<th>Scaled explained SS</th>
<th>Prob. Chi-Square(9)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.453777</td>
<td>0.8847</td>
<td>5.286989</td>
<td>0.8086</td>
<td>5.268337</td>
<td>0.8013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Test Equation:
Dependent Variable: RESID^2
Method: Least Squares
Date: 06/15/19   Time: 22:20
Sample: 1 26
Included observations: 26
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>Std. Error</th>
<th>t-Statistic</th>
<th>Prob.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>-0.039357</td>
<td>0.693726</td>
<td>-0.280797</td>
<td>0.7819</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LNX1</td>
<td>0.066538</td>
<td>0.273666</td>
<td>0.617732</td>
<td>0.5441</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LNX1^2</td>
<td>-0.003338</td>
<td>0.027325</td>
<td>-0.579891</td>
<td>0.5688</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LNX1*LNX2</td>
<td>0.009975</td>
<td>0.046855</td>
<td>0.430661</td>
<td>0.6716</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LNX1*X3</td>
<td>-0.000250</td>
<td>0.000877</td>
<td>-0.363992</td>
<td>0.7199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LNX2</td>
<td>-0.053403</td>
<td>0.214758</td>
<td>-0.248660</td>
<td>0.2300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LNX2^2</td>
<td>-0.004296</td>
<td>0.997688</td>
<td>-0.283747</td>
<td>0.7797</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LNX2*X3</td>
<td>-0.000320</td>
<td>0.263169</td>
<td>-0.710235</td>
<td>0.4862</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X3</td>
<td>-0.001735</td>
<td>0.004341</td>
<td>-0.569733</td>
<td>0.5755</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X3^2</td>
<td>8.02E-06</td>
<td>1.47E-05</td>
<td>0.225869</td>
<td>0.8237</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

R-squared          0.256125  Mean dependent var  0.001139
Adjusted R-squared -0.244236 S.D. dependent var  0.001937
S.E. of regression 0.002162 Akaike info criterion -9.56662
Sum squared resid   7.08E+11 Schwarz criterion  -8.03810
Log likelihood      128.7160 Hannan-Quinn criter. -9.71429
F-statistic         0.452882 Durbin-Watson stat  1.978095
Prob(F-statistic)   0.889788

The statistic of White test is 5.268331, P value is 0.8086, which is greater than 0.05. White test shows that there is no heteroscedasticity.

4. Model Application Analysis

These data show that GDP, regional fiscal expenditure and commodity retail price index do affect our tax revenue. GDP is positively correlated with tax revenue. This shows that the increase of GDP will bring about the increase of tax revenue. This is easy to understand, because the economy is the source of income, only to increase output, it is possible to raise taxes, which is the root cause. Fiscal impact on tax revenue is significantly positively correlated, which shows that fiscal expenditure increases, tax revenue will also increase. And its coefficient is higher than GDP. It should have been: in order to promote economic growth, the state often implements expansionary property policies, so that the development of the economy, all kinds of taxes will naturally increase, thereby increasing the total tax revenue. Retail commodity price index is positively correlated with tax revenue. It is obvious that the rise of price index means that the price rises, and the total income of each seller will increase, so that the various taxes that need to be paid will also increase, thus, the tax revenue of the country will increase significantly.

5. Recommendations

With the new changes in the macro-background of economic operation, the current tax system should be adjusted.

5.1. Improving the VAT system and changing the production VAT to consumption VAT

Improving the value-added tax system, transforming the production-oriented value-added tax into the consumption-oriented value-added tax, and ensuring the healthy development of the national economy are the top priorities. It is urgent to solve the problem of restraining investment in production-oriented VAT in time.
5.2. Strengthen tax situation analysis.

Accurately grasp the tax revenue situation in the early stage. Deeply analyzing and accurately grasping the causes of income increase and decrease in the early stage is the cause of economic development, tax policy, or important measures or serious mistakes in collection and management, objectively reflecting the existing problems in organizational income work, and scientifically studying the trend of tax revenue.

5.3. Strengthen the analysis of policy effects.

Closely follow the implementation of tax reform and tax policy changes, measure the quantitative impact of tax policy changes on economy and tax revenue, and put forward adjustment and optimization suggestions, so as to enhance the scientific nature of tax reform and tax policy adjustment programs, and promote the establishment of a scientific tax system.
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